

Sallins

Chief Executive's Report on submissions on the proposed *Material Alterations* to the Draft Sallins Local Area Plan 2016-2022



Planning Department
February 2016

	Page
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 List of Persons and/or Bodies who made submissions	5
3.0 Considerations of Submissions Received	10

1.0 Introduction

This report focuses on the submissions and observations received from the public and statutory bodies following the publication of the proposed material alterations to the Draft Sallins Local Area Plan 2016-2022 under Sections 18-20 of the Planning and Development Acts 2000 to 2015.

1.2 Legislative Requirements

Under the Planning and Development Acts 2000 to 2015, the Chief Executive is required to prepare a report on the submissions and observations received in respect of the proposed material alterations. **Only submissions in relation to the proposed amendments will be taken into consideration.** In accordance with Section 20 (3) of the Planning and Development Acts (2000-2015) a further modification to the material alteration shall not be made where it refers to an increase in the area of land zoned for any purpose or an addition to or deletion from the record of protected structures.

1.3 Content of Chief Executive's Report

The Chief Executive's Report must:

1. List the persons or bodies who made submissions or observations.
2. Summarise the issues raised by the persons or bodies in the submissions or observations; and
3. Give the opinion of the Chief Executive on the issues raised and his recommendations in relation to the proposed material alterations in relation to the proposed material alterations to the Draft LAP. In this regard the Chief Executive's opinion must take into account:
 - a) The proper planning and sustainable development of the area;
 - b) The statutory obligations of the local authority; and
 - c) Any relevant policies or objectives of the Government or of any Minister of the Government.

The material alterations consist of amendments to the written statement of the Draft LAP and to the land use zonings where relevant as follows:

Proposed material alterations involving additional text are shown in **blue**; and

Proposed material alterations involving deletion of text are shown in **red**.

1.4 Public Consultation

The proposed Material Alterations to the Draft Sallins LAP were placed on public display from the 1st December 2015 until 8th January 2016. Copies were made available for inspection at Áras Chill Dara in Naas and on the Council's website.

Copies of the Material Alterations to the Draft LAP and associated documents were also sent to a range of statutory bodies including government departments and other agencies as required by the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2015. In total **187 submissions** were received on the proposed Material Alterations to the Draft LAP during the statutory period. All written submissions received were acknowledged.

They are summarised individually in this report. The opinion and recommendation of the Chief Executive is given on the issues raised.

1.5 Next Steps

Following consideration of the Chief Executive's Report the LAP shall be made or amended as appropriate by resolution **no later than a period of 6 weeks after the report has been furnished to the members** with all, some or none of the material alterations.

In making the LAP, the elected representatives, acting in the interests of the common good and the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, must, in accordance with the 'Code of Conduct for Councillors' prepared under the Local Government Act 2001, carry out their duties in a transparent manner, must follow due process and must make their decisions based on relevant considerations.

Section 20 (3)(r) of the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2015, as amended, states that the Members of the Council are restricted to:

- considering the proper planning and sustainable development of the area;
- the statutory obligations of the local authority; and
- any relevant policies or objectives for the time being of the Government or any Minister of the Government.

2.0 List of Persons and/or Bodies who made submissions

Submission No	Name	On behalf of
1	Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government	
2	Department of Education and Skills	
3	EPA	
4	An Taisce	
5	DAA	
6	Transport Infrastructure Ireland	
7	Maguire & Associates	Springwood Ltd
8	John Spain Associates	Origin Enterprises
9	ILTP Consulting	Waterstown Lands Co-Owners
10	Sallins GAA	
11	Sallins Community Council	
12	Derek Whyte	Frank Reynolds
13	Patrena Slowey	Millennium Properties
14	Mark Kinahan	Sallins Scout Group
15	Breda Kinahan	Sallins Scout Group
16	Pat Kidney	Sallins Scout Group
17	Lorraine Powell	Irish Girl Guides
18	Irene Comerford	Irish Girl Guides
19	Helen Cummins	Irish Girl Guides
20	Sallins Celtic FC	
21	Sallins Community Games	
22	N.T.A.	
23	Fergus Carpenter	
24	Joe Kelly	
25	John Fitzgerald	
26	Michael Dolly	
27	Brenda Hughes	
28	Debbie & Keith Grimes	
29	Michelle McLoughlin	
30	John & Geraldine Kehoe	
31	Tony Gavin	
32	Martin McAllorum	
33	Audrey McAllorum	
34	Ann Byrne	
35	James Sexton	

36	Sean Keogh	
37	Muriel Horkan	
38	Donal J Meehan	
39	Barrie Brown	
40	Derek Ennis	
41	Sabrina Ennis	
42	David Cummins	
43	Bernie Humphreys	
44	Marcus Lanigan	
45	Sonja Owens	
46	Ann Byrne	
47	Siobhan Cunniffe	
48	Bridget Murray	
49	Alan Hurney	
50	Alana Hurney	
51	Bridget Murray	
52	Roseanne Byrne	
53	Sean Kelleghan	
54	Margaret O'Connell	
55	Sharon Mooney	
56	Anna Byrne	
57	Rita Sutton Eyre	
58	Elaine Burke	
59	Dan Burke	
60	Declan Cahill	
61	Marion Roche	
62	Ann Byrne	
63	Brian Whelan	
64	Fergus Reilly	
65	Maureen Murphy	
66	Colette Cahill	
67	Cian Gavin	
68	Helen Cummins	
69	Garrett Prendiville	
70	Siobhan Cunniffe	
71	Sonja Owens	
72	Aidan McDonnell	
73	Karen McDonnell	
74	Diarmait O'Reilly	
75	Michelle Clare	
76	Catriona Caffrey	
77	Niall Burke	
78	Tom Cronin	
79	Margaret Keane	
80	Susan Gavagan	

81	Oisin Greene	
82	Brendan Fidges	
83	Sheila Heffernan	
84	Richard Dunne	
85	Alan Banford	
86	Pamela Behan	
87	Caroline Purcell	
88	Ann Conroy	
89	Anne Hekol	
90	Paraic Walsh	
91	Jean Halpin	
92	Keelin Walsh	
93	Padraig and Loretta Foran	
94	Karen & V O'Brien	
95	Bernard Dunne	
96	Barney & Martina McDonnell	
97	Maura Scully	
98	Wm & Sarah Mulligan	
99	Paddy & Helen Jones	
100	Declan Lackey & Helen Herbert	
101	Paul Moynihan	
102	Kay & Pat Byrne	
103	Gerard Murphy	
104	Maria Dunne	
105	David Gahan	
106	John Russell	
107	Valerie Halford	
108	Kevin Pyke	
109	Joseph Howell	
110	Chris Howell	
111	John Carey	
112	Mark Moran	
113	Anne Choiseul	
114	Gillian O'Connor	
115	Antonio Angelosante	
116	John Ryan	
117	Niall Minogue	
118	Bernard & Bernadette McGinn	
119	Annette Angelosante	
120	Christina Gough	
121	Ashley Guerin	
122	Micheal Devitt	
123	Jacque Walsh Carney	
124	Caroline Lawlor	
125	Eunice Dreelan	

126	Rita & Ronan O'Brien	
127	Karen Wilson	
128	Trevor Wilson	
129	Dolores Daly	
130	Elizabeth Wilson	
131	Brid Hanly	
132	Des O'Neill	
133	Kieran Murphy	
134	Graham Cowen	
135	Garry Higgins	
136	Darren Solan	
137	Rose Gleeson	
138	Collette Davis	
139	Catherine Harris	
140	Audrey Solan	
141	Fergus McCarthy	
142	Kenneth Davis	
143	Frank Davis	
144	Liam Davis	
145	Sylvia Temple	
146	Maura Scully	
147	Paddy Jones	
148	Ronald Gough	
149	Michael Halford	
150	Peter & Philomena Moddy	
151	Margaret Lewis	
152	Mary Mooney	
153	Fran Sweeney	
154	Rachel & Andy Churchill	
155	Hilary Lowry	
156	Jacqueline Considine	
157	Theola Concannon	
158	Vourneen McKeever	
159	Daithi de Faoite	
160	Niels Kristian Nielsen	
161	Edel Kidney	Sallins Scout Group
162	Maria Shirran	
163	Brendan Leane	
164	David Pender	
165	Sean Gleeson	
166	Colin MacNamee	
167	Garrett Prendiville	
168	Wayne Finn	
169	Harry Pender	

170	Helen McCormack	
171	Tammy Leane	
172	Alfie Beahan	
173	Cllr Seamie Moore	
174	Paul O'Brien	
175	Mary McConnon	
176	Karen Byrne	
177	Joan Burke	
178	Arthur Shirran	
179	Ronan Anthony O'Reilly	
180	Ollie Smith	
181	Ann Pender	
182	Seosaidh O'Connor	
183	Ronald Stone	
184	Phil Voon	
185	Edel & Mark O'Dwyer	
186	Derek Lowry	
187	Colette Duffy	

3.0 Considerations of Submissions Received

Sub No.	Name	Summary of Issues Raised	Chief Executive's Opinion and Recommendation
1	Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government	<p>The Department commends the Council for producing a robust and clearly laid out planning document as a framework for the proper planning and sustainable development of Sallins.</p> <p>The Department notes however that the Draft LAP includes three proposals (Material Alterations No.s 19, 20 & 21) that would result in substantial additional residential development in Sallins. These proposals aggregate to an additional 17.45 ha (as per revised Table 5) with potential to deliver 535 additional dwellings over the plan period. The LAP originally proposed 19.1ha for residential/mixed use development (including residential). These additional zonings now proposed would nearly double this figure and be contrary to the Core Strategy of the Kildare County Development Plan.</p> <p>Proposed Material Alteration No. 20 relating to lands in the northeastern periphery of the settlement is of particular concern to the Department. This Material Alteration seeks inter alia to zone 12.15 ha of lands to C New Residential at an isolated location east of the Clane Road stretching to the rear of established residential development.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion The Chief Executive welcomes the detailed submission from the DECLG.</p> <p>For clarity the submission refer to the following Amendments:</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 19: Rezone land from Agriculture to Open Space and New Residential west of Oldbridge Station (Springwood Ltd.)</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 20: Rezone lands on the Clane Road/Bodenstown Road from Industry and Warehousing t; 1) F Open Space and Amenity– 37 acres; 2) C9 New Residential-30 acres; and 3) E -Community and Educational – 3 acres (McCarthy Meat Processing Ltd)</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 21: Rezone lands at the GAA lands from Open Space to Town Centre (A4) (Sallins GAA)</p> <p>The Draft LAP presents a comprehensive planning rationale</p>

The Department notes the following with respect to the proposed Material Alteration No. 20:

- The peripheral and greenfield nature of the land is at odds with planning guidance on the zoning of lands provided in the Development Plan Guidelines (2007) issued by the Minister under Section 28 of the Planning and Development Act 2000. Specifically, decisions to zone land *'must be made in an open and transparent manner, must be clearly justified on the basis of established need and must support the aims and strategy of the plan'* (section 4.10, pg. 41 of the Guidelines);
- Material Alteration No. 20 is contrary to the main strategy of the Local Area Plan to consolidate Sallins by developing the centrally located sites within the town. It is not justified on the basis of housing need, Local Area Plan policy or the presence of existing supporting infrastructure as identified in section 4.12 of the Guidelines as determining criteria;
- The proposal is contrary to the sequential approach to the zoning of lands whereby such zoning should 'extend out from the centre of an urban area, with undeveloped lands closest to the core and public transport routes being given preference (section

for the sustainable development of the town, with a specific focus on developing the urban core and associated amenities in a sequential manner. This is reinforced in the preferred development strategy for consolidation which underpins the entire Draft Plan. This is set out in sections 4 and 5 of the Draft plan.

Proposed Material Alteration No. 20 remains contrary to this strategy for the reasons as set out by the previous Chief Executive's Report on submissions to the Draft LAP (dated 11th September 2015) as reinforced by this submission from the DECLG.

The addition of 12.1 ha (circa 365 units/900 people) of residential land at the northeast of Sallins, remote from the town centre in an isolated area to the rear of a large tract of proposed open space is contrary to proper planning. Lands zoned C12 under Proposed Material Alteration No. 20 accounts for 70% of the overall increase in units proposed under Material Alterations 19, 20 and 21.

The Department therefore stated that *'the Planning Authority **must omit** the proposal from the Draft LAP in order to ensure its compliance with Ministerial Guidelines'*.

Furthermore the proposed zoning does not accord with the '12 Criteria' set out in the Urban Design Manual 2009 which accompanied the 'Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas' as issued by the DEHLG under Section 28 of the Act.

		<p>4.19 of the Guidelines). The proposed zoning is not justified in relation to the specified zoning criteria and would be contrary to the Ministerial Guidelines.</p> <p>Accordingly the Department is of the view that Material Alteration No. 20 is not compliant with the guidelines above. In line with its statutory responsibilities, the Planning Authority must omit the proposal from the Draft LAP in order to ensure its compliance with Ministerial Guidelines.</p>	<p>A detailed Urban Design and Public Realm Strategy has been prepared for Sallins town centre. Material Alteration No. 20 will undermine the concept which has been welcomed by the Naas MD as it will reinforce an undesirable pattern in allowing development at the periphery in advance on developing the heart of Sallins in the first instance, moving out sequentially thereafter.</p> <p>The concept of a school, community buildings and open space at the periphery, remote from the core population of the town, is contrary to proper planning.</p> <p>Finally the proposed alteration has disregarded the economic strategy as set out for the lands as employment under the Draft LAP. To proceed with Material Alteration No. 20 will deprive Sallins of the only strategic landbank which was identified for employment uses and undermine the economic strategy under section 9.0 'Enterprise, Industry and Economic Development' of the Draft LAP.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation Revert the zoning of the lands to that as set out under the Draft Sallins LAP i.e. for employment uses.</p>
2	Department of Education and Skills	<p>The Department has made a series of general comments in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensuring that there is sufficient educational 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion Noted.</p> <p>The Draft Sallins LAP has made sufficient provision for</p>

		<p>infrastructure to meet the needs of the community;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensuring that provision is made to share community and educational facilities to ensure safe walking to school; and • The department are in favour of multi-campus school arrangements. <p>The Department attached information used to calculate educational infrastructural requirements and site suitability as appendices to the submission.</p>	<p>zoning of lands in relation to educational and community facilities over the life of the Plan.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation No change.</p>
3	EPA	<p>SEA Determination The EPA notes the Council's position with regard to the need for Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Proposed Material Alterations (the Alterations).</p> <p>Specific Comments on the Proposed Material Alterations A number of key aspects to be considered are outlined below and should be taken into account.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The EPA notes that a number of the Alterations proposed would appear to conflict with the Regional Planning Guidelines and associated County Core Strategy, and have been proposed contrary to the recommendations and advice of the Chief Executive, as described in <i>Section 7.0</i> of the <i>Proposed Material Alterations</i>. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion The Planning Authority notes the detailed submission from the EPA.</p> <p>As set out under submission no. 1, the Chief Executive recommends that proposed Material Alteration no. 20 is not proceeded with and that the zoning reverts back to that proposed under the Draft LAP i.e H Industry and Warehousing.</p> <p>The Chief Executives opinion and recommendations regarding proposed Material Alterations under 19 and 21 are discussed further under submission no.s 4, 7 and 10 below.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation Issues relating to proposed Material Alterations under 19 and 21 are discussed under submission no.s 4, 7 and 10</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The EPA recommend that the Alterations should be consistent with the Regional Planning Guidelines and associated County Core Strategy and that they reflect proper planning and sustainable development.• In <i>Appendix I - Section 8.2.1 Future Housing Targets</i>, the EPA notes the proposed removal of text (associated with Alterations 19, 20 and 21) contrary to the advice of the Chief Executive.• Cumulatively, the proposed land zonings C8, C9 and A4 now propose to deliver housing units well above those currently allocated in the County Core Strategy. These should be reconsidered to ensure consistency with the Regional Planning Guidelines and associated County Core Strategy.• In relation to Alteration 20, the EPA notes the identified issues relating to conflicts with higher level plans, failing to support proper planning and sustainable development, the leapfrogging the development of centrally-located lands in favour of more remote lands and the need to deliver sustainable of transport	<p>below.</p> <p>The Council will ensure that any proposed changes take account of SEA Regulations Schedule 2A Criteria (S.I No. 436 of 2004).</p> <p>Following adoption of the Plan, the Council will prepare a SEA Statement in relation to '<i>Information on the Decision</i>'.</p>
--	--	---	---

The EPA acknowledges and supports the 'SEA Comments' and 'SEA Recommendations' in Appendix 6-SEA Environmental Report Addendum of the Proposed Material Alterations to the Draft Sallins Local Area Plan 2016-2022.

Future Amendments to the Draft Plan

Kildare County Council should determine whether or not the implementation of future proposed Alterations would be likely to have significant effects on the environment. This assessment should take account of the SEA Regulations Schedule 2A Criteria (S.I No. 436 of 2004).

SEA Statement – "Information on the Decision"

Following adoption of the Plan, an SEA Statement, should summarise the following:

- I. How environmental considerations have been integrated into the Plan;
- II. How the Environmental Report, submissions, observations and consultations have been taken into account during the preparation of the Plan;
- III. The reasons for choosing the Plan adopted in the light of other reasonable alternatives dealt with; and
- IV. The measures decided upon to monitor the significant environmental effects of implementation of the Plan.

4	An Taisce	<p>An Taisce makes the following comments :-</p> <p>An Taisce has reviewed the Minutes of the Special meeting of Naas Municipal District held at 6.30p.m on 22nd October 2015. The following is noted with respect to the meeting and advice from the Planners:</p> <p>The Meetings Administrator reminded the members that in making the LAP in accordance with the 'Code of Conduct for Councillors' (June 2004) prepared under the Local Government Act 2001, carry out their duties in a transparent manner, must follow due process and must make their decisions based on relevant considerations.</p> <p>The Senior Planner informed the members that the draft Plan had to be compatible with the County Development Plan, the Core Strategy and the Regional Planning Guidelines</p> <p>The submission quotes various sections (relating to requirement of 1.9% of overall growth as per the Core Strategy of the CDP) from the Chief Executive's report to the members on submissions to the Draft Sallins Local Area Plan.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 19: Rezone land from Agriculture to Open Space and New Residential west of Oldbridge Station (Springwood Ltd.)</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion Noted.</p>
---	-----------	--	--

		<p>Proposed Amendment 20: Rezone lands on the Clane Road/Bodenstown Road from H Industry and Warehousing to 1) F Open Space and Amenity– 37 acres; 2) C9 New Residential-30 acres; and 3) E Community and Educational – 3 acres (McCarthy Meat Processing Ltd)</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 21: Rezone lands at the GAA lands from Open Space to A4 Town Centre (Sallins GAA)</p> <p>Proposed Material Alterations No.s 19 & 20 Material Alterations 19 and 20 results in a quantum of residential zoned lands such that the Draft Sallins Local Area Plan is not consistent with the Core Strategy of County Kildare Development Plan. Proper planning for sustainable development requires an orderly approach and a nested hierarchy of targets, as set out in the National Spatial Strategy, the Regional Planning Guidelines and the County Development Plan core strategy; and this is provided for in the Planning and Development Act</p> <p>The submission also raises the following issues with respect to material Alteration No. 20 only:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The location of land zoned for 'Open Space' and 'Community and Educational' purposes, located at 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion on proposed Material Alteration No. 19</p> <p>The location of the land is proximate to the town centre and forms a logical extension to a residential scheme which is under construction. Links connecting the scheme to the rail station and the canal are also feasible under the zoning objective.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the fact that the proposal will result in an additional 78 units above the recommended unit target of 598 units as per the Core Strategy, it is recognised that there are infrastructural deficits north of the rail line which will severely restrict the delivery of houses in Sallins up to and including 2019. For the reasons set out above, it is recommended to retain the lands proposed as C8 and F2</p>
--	--	---	--

		<p>such a distance outside Sallins town centre would detract from the vitality and viability of town centre functions. Furthermore the Chief Executive's Report states that: <i>"The location of the F "Open Space" and E "Community and Educational" lands, located circa 1.5 km from the town centre is contrary to proper planning"</i>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A strong emphasis should be placed on encouraging the sequential development of all zoned lands and encouraging the development of underutilized lands in and close to the town centre. • An Taisce notes the Chief Executive's comments in relation to the proposal for residential zoning at this site stating that: <i>"Sufficient land (including phasing) are zoned in Sallins for residential development over the plan period"</i>. Furthermore, in relation to the sequential approach, it is stated that: <i>"Sequentially there are lands closer to the town centre/rail station which could accommodate (if required) development in advance of the stated lands"</i>. • The proposed alteration would exacerbate issues relating to pedestrian and cyclist movement raised in the Urban Design and Public Realm Study and be contrary to objectives set out in the Kildare County Development Plan • The Kildare County Development Plan that 'building 	<p>under the proposed Material Alteration No. 19.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation Proposed Material Alteration No. 19:</p> <p>Retain the lands proposed Material Alteration No. 19 as C8 (2.2ha) and F2 (including specific zoning objective on site).</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion on proposed Material Alteration No. 20</p> <p>The Chief Executive welcomes the submission from An Taisce.</p> <p>As set out in Appendix 1 of the <i>Material Alterations Report on the Draft Sallins Local Area Plan 2016-2022</i>, the additional zonings under C8, C9 and A4 have capacity to increase the overall developable lands from 19.1ha to 36.55ha, resulting in an increase in unit capacity from 598 units to 1,190 units. This is unsustainable.</p> <p>For reasons as set out under submission no. 1 above, the Chief Executive recommends that the lands proposed for rezoning under Material Alteration no. 20 revert back to the Draft LAP.</p>
--	--	--	---

strong, inclusive communities is a key element in achieving sustainable development'. Furthermore it is stated that 'Access to education, health and community support services, amenities, leisure services and a good quality built environment is a prerequisite for the creation for sustainable communities". An Taisce submit that the proposed location for education and community, as well as open space, would be contrary to the above objectives of the Kildare CDP.

- Strong consideration should be given to the access of such facilities if it were to be located 1.5km outside of the town centre. The proposed alteration would depart from the overall strategy to develop underutilized brownfield sites and would be located at a site without any proper connection to the town centre.
- Furthermore, the minutes of the meeting mention the McHugh case decided by the High Court which set out restrictions to the rationale for zoning lands as might relate to third party gain. It appears that given the lack of proper planning evidence for material alteration 20 and the discussions on requirements for amenity land use, that the zoning of residential lands to the north of Sallins puts the plan in conflict with the restrictions of the McHugh

Chief Executive's Recommendation on proposed Material Alteration No. 20

As per recommendation under submission no. 1 above.

		<p>case.</p> <p>It is imperative that overzoning of residential does not occur and that a sequential approach is applied to zoning objectives for the Sallins Local Area Plan.</p> <p>Proposed Material Alteration No. 21 (Sallins GAA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An Taisce notes the comments in the Minutes of Meeting of Naas Municipal district which it is stated that <i>“The GAA site is not suited for town centre development and should be retained as open space/pocket park allowing for the proposed bridge links with the schools”</i>. This supports the draft Sallins Local Area Plan which states that a community facility such as a playground and /or small town park is an important and significant feature of a vibrant living town and fosters a sense of place for residents and visitors’. • Retaining this area for ‘Open Space’ and restricting development allows for future amenity development opportunities such as a park which is an objective of Sallins Local Area Plan 	<p>Chief Executive’s Opinion on proposed Material Alteration No. 21</p> <p>The Chief Executive previously recommended the retention of the lands at Sallins GAA for F Open Space and Amenity purposes for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Draft LAP identified a large area (14.9ha) as a future town park over the medium to long term • The Core Strategy has reduced the growth targets for Sallins, reducing future pressures on the club • The GAA facility is located in the heart of the town, providing a park type setting which is accessible to a large cohort of young people by walking or cycling; • A medium to longer term strategy is to create a town park, linked to the school via a pedestrian bridge adjacent to the canal. Such opportunities in the future would be lost should the lands be developed; • The loss of this ‘green lung’ in the heart of the town would be a retrograde step; and
--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A mixed use commercial development would be unsuitable for the site considering the quantum of vacant brownfield lands in Sallins. <p>The rezoning of lands to the north of Sallins is not recommended.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion on proposed Material Alteration No. 21</p> <p>Revert the zoning of the GAA back to F Open Space and Amenity as per the Draft LAP.</p>
5	DAA	The DAA has no comment to make on the LAP.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>Noted.</p>
6	Transport Infrastructure Ireland	<p>Proposed Material Alteration No. 16</p> <p>The Authority would welcome consultation on the provision of any park and ride proposals arising from Policy PT5 where there may be implications for the operation of the national road network in the area.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>Agreed.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>Amend Policy PT 5 as follows:</p> <p><i>To review the connectivity between Sallins Train Station and the permitted Sallins Bypass, and facilitate improved connections (as necessary) in the form of a station redesign and/or the provision of strategic park and ride facilities, and to consult with the appropriate statutory bodies in relation to same.</i></p>

<p>7</p>	<p>Maguire & Associates on behalf of Springwood Limited</p>	<p>Proposed Material Alteration No. 19 On behalf of Springwood Limited, the following changes are proposed to Material Alteration no. 19:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C8 Residential Land Use: Change proposed area under C8 from 2.2ha to 2.8ha as originally proposed. This was to comply with the “infill Development” proposals of Kildare County Council under Option A Consolidation proposal included in the Draft LAP. • C8 lands should be changed from Phase 2 to Phase 1 to enable these lands to be developed immediately • F2 Open Space and Amenity: Change area from 1.6ha to 1ha. Springwood have no objection to this new land use zoning of F2 on proposed 1ha site. <p>The submission also includes a number of appendices in relation to housing units, issues regarding waste water and planning.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion The SEA Preferred Scenario ‘Consolidation’ is indicative insofar as it is not site specific and should not be taken as inclusion of sites for zoning purposes or scaled in terms of mapping.</p> <p>In accordance with Section 20 (3) of the Planning and Development Acts (2000-2015) a further modification to the material alteration shall not be made where it refers to an increase in the area of land zoned for any purpose. Therefore the proposal from Springwood cannot be considered.</p> <p>The proposal to incorporate C8 2.2ha into Phase 1 is accepted given that the lands are not restricted by local foul water network issues north of the rail line. It is also noted that the DECLG, while noting issues <i>inter alia</i> relating to compliance with the Core Strategy and Development Plan Guidelines for Local Authorities, did not specifically request the omission of proposed Material Alteration No. 19 from the LAP.</p> <p>The location of the land is proximate to the town centre and forms a logical extension to a residential scheme which is under construction. Links connecting the scheme to the rail station and the canal are also feasible under the zoning objective.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the fact that the proposal will result in an additional 78 units above the recommended unit target of</p>
----------	---	--	---

598 units as per the Core Strategy, it is recognised that there are infrastructural deficits north of the rail line which will severely restrict the delivery of houses in Sallins up to and including 2019. For the reasons set out above, it is recommended to retain the lands proposed as C8 and F2 under the proposed Material Alteration No. 19.

Chief Executive's Recommendation

Retain the lands proposed Material Alteration No. 19 i.e. C8 New Residential 2.2ha and F2 Open Space and Amenity 1.6ha. Amend text under section 8.2.1 to include lands zoned C8 New Residential as Phase 1 lands as follows:

*....To reinforce this aim, lands identified as A Town Centre, K Commercial/Residential, C1, C2 and C8 New Residential are all classified as **Phase 1 lands**.*

<p>8</p>	<p>John Spain Associates on behalf of Origin Enterprises</p>	<p>Owners of the former Odlums site. Submission raises the following issues:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is a brownfield site in the heart of the town • Concerns over requirement to retain the existing buildings on site as part of any development • Buildings are of no architectural or conservation merit and do not merit retention in the future. Not identified on the National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) or the record of Protected Structures (RPS) <p>In the Council's Urban Design and Public Realm Study, it is noted that the buildings on site are identified as "negative quality buildings".</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buildings not worthy of retention and any proposed reuse would require significant work and cost • Findings of and proposals in the Urban Design and Public Realm Study are unviable, unreasonable. • Townscape analysis image identifies the Odlums site as being a physical and visual barrier along the canal and Main. St. The proposed material alterations will result in this barrier remaining in place. • The public space upgrade proposal drawing (page 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The Draft Sallins LAP included two specific objective in Section 10.0 Town Centre as follows:</p> <p>TCO 6: <i>To prepare and implement (subject to funding) a detailed Town Improvement Scheme to visually enhance the streetscapes and key urban spaces in the town centre and approach roads. The Scheme shall accord with the National Transport Authority's 'Statement of Strategy 2012-2014' (or any subsequent Strategy), the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS) and the Dept. of Transport's 'Smarter Travel - A Sustainable Transport Future 2009-2020' and place an emphasis on;</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>a) Delivering a modal shift by creating a pedestrian, cyclist and public transport friendly environment.</i> <i>b) Integrating land use and transport in the town centre with surrounding residential, schools and employment areas.</i> <i>c) Improving the appearance (lighting, rationalising signage, environmental, landscaping etc) of the town centre and surrounding streets.</i> <i>d) Undergrounding of utility cables.</i> <i>e) Promoting the economic development of the town centre.</i> <p>And</p>
----------	--	--	--

		<p>12) fails to identify the canal banks west of Sallins bridge as “pedestrian areas”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The future development scenario (page 18) does not indicate a pedestrian route along the south bank of the canal west of the bridge. To do so would require the removal of the milling building on the Odlums site. <p>Refers to Reddy Architects proposal (figure 6) which facilitates extension of the walkway from the east side of the bridge along the west side.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Urban Study (pages 18-19) suggests creation of a public square to the north of the bridge with the Main St. Submission suggests it’s more appropriate to create public space along the canal banks. • Requests Council to revert to planning framework in Draft LAP relating to this site which would facilitate high density, mixed use, sustainable development. An element of community, recreational and amenity use could be incorporated as part of any future development proposal • Designation of the site for mixed use development is consistent with the approach to development as set down in national planning guidelines • Objective to use the former industrial buildings for community, recreational and amenity use would result in the underutilisation of a brownfield site in 	<p>TCO 7: <i>In addition to/in conjunction with objective TCO 6, to prepare a specific urban design/landscape architectural Masterplan for Main Street Sallins, as identified in Figure 5 below, dealing with issues such as: key transport routes, urban edges, urban form and public realm improvements.</i></p> <p>Following the publication of the Draft Sallins LAP, a submission was received requesting that the work should be integrated with the final LAP (submission No. 34 to the Draft LAP). The Chief Executive, on foot of this request, agreed with the submission and prepared the Sallins Town Centre – Urban Design and Public Realm Study.</p> <p>It was resolved by the members at a public meeting on October 22nd 2015 to place the Urban Design and Public Realm Study on display as proposed Material Amendment No. 18 to the Draft Sallins Local Area Plan 2016-2022. The proposed Material Amendment was duly placed display and was subject to public consultation for the stated period in accordance Section 20 (3) (j) of the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2015.</p> <p>A specific zoning objective A2 Town Centre was assigned to the site, which presents <i>inter alia</i> a coherent strategy to guide future development in the town centre.</p> <p>Detailed design for the site is not a matter for the Local Area Plan and will be assessed through the development management process, having regard to the policies and</p>
--	--	--	---

		<p>a prime town centre location</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retention of the existing industrial buildings represents an inappropriate use of land in this town centre location Requests that proposed Alteration no. 10 be omitted from the new Sallins LAP with the text reverting to that contained in the Draft LAP Requests amendments to the proposed material alterations to the draft LAP Zoning Objective A2 should read as follows: <i>This zoning objective seeks to encourage mixed use and residential development on this site. Development proposals should incorporate an element of community / recreational / amenity use on the site and a linear corridor along the Canal. Access to the site shall be via Main Street and via a possible new connection at Osberstown Court as indicated on Maps 1 and 2.</i> <p>Appendix 1- Urban Design and Public Realm Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the Future Development Scenario diagram included on page 18 to reflect the future redevelopment of the entire former Odlums site with a linear walkway along the Canal. Remove reference to the requirement to provide for the retention and reuse of the buildings on the 	<p>objectives as set out in the Sallins Local Area Plan 2016-2022, the Kildare County Development Plan 2011-2017 and the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2015. Any scheme will be assessed in that context.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>A revised Urban Design and Public Realm Study and associated text will be circulated to the members.</p>
--	--	---	---

former Odlums site for community, recreational and amenity uses.

- Remove reference to the creation of a town centre activity park on the northern half of the former Odlums site.
- Remove Appendix 1 from the Urban Design and Public Realm Plan
- Suggests indicative masterplan prepared by Reddy Architecture (see figure 5 in submission) provides a more suitable and sustainable form of development

- A number of individual alterations to the text of the Draft LAP are proposed under Alteration No. 18. A number of these have implications for the owner's site. The relevant proposed alterations are underlined in font below, numbered for ease of reference, and a response to each and proposed alterations are suggested.

No. 1- Text Change – Creation of Appendix 1

The document 'Sallins Town Centre – Urban Design and Public Realm Study' will be included in the Sallins Local Area Plan 2016 – 2022, as Appendix 1

Suggests that Appendix 1 of the Study - *Sallins from Brown to Green* - should be omitted entirely from the document, given the significant concerns expressed within this submission. Also requests that the 'Future Development Scenario' figure contained on page 18 of the Study is replaced by updated proposals for the site, similar to that included as Figure 5 of this submission and attached in Appendix 1- Reddy Architecture + Urbanism Study

- The proposals put forward in the Urban Design and Public Realm Study for a town centre activity park and retention of existing buildings appears to be based on the Sallins Brown to Green Study prepared by Cathal O'Meara Landscape Architect. The precedents referred to from elsewhere refer to projects in New York, Germany and China, where such proposals formed part of larger redevelopment proposals or were publicly funded. The context in Sallins is significantly different and there is no evidence of how such proposals would be funded. The most viable way of achieving an element of community / recreational / amenity use on the site is by facilitating the comprehensive redevelopment of this 1.6 ha site and to include such a planning gain element as an objective of any future redevelopment.

- The Urban Design and Public Realm Study should also be revisited and amended where necessary, to ensure it is consistent with the wording of the LAP itself in relation to the Council's intentions for the site. This submission has already highlighted a number of inconsistencies between this Study and the current wording of the LAP, in particular the proposed Objective A2.

No. 2 Text Change: Objective TCO 7 of the Draft LAP is replaced by the following:

'TCO 7: To work with various government departments/agencies/bodies and private landowners to implement key principles of the 'Sallins Town Centre – Urban Design & Public Realm Study' for the town centre area as defined in Figure 5 and outlined in detail in Appendix 1 of this Plan. Elements of the Study may be delivered on an incremental basis, subject to funding. Although indicative in nature, development proposals within the identified area should indicate how the broad principles of the study will be delivered.'

- Submission expresses disappointment that no consultation took place during the preparation of the Urban Design and Public Realm Study, notwithstanding the significant implications for the

landholding when compared with the framework provided in the current and Draft LAP. While welcoming the Council's commitment to engage with various stakeholders in order to ensure the key principles of the *'Sallins Town Centre – Urban Design & Public Realm Study'* are delivered, this submission expresses concerns in respect to the objectives of the Study and inconsistencies between the current wording of Objective A2 and the Study, which significantly impact future redevelopment proposals for the Odlums site.

Accordingly requests that Appendix 1- Urban Design and Public Realm study is amended as follows:

- Replace the future development scenario proposals for the former Odlums site with those prepared by Reddy Architecture
- Remove Appendix 1- Sallins from Brown to Green from the Study
- Remove reference to the requirement to provide for the retention and reuse of the buildings on the former Odlums site for community, recreational and amenity uses.
- Remove reference to the creation of a town centre activity park on the northern half of the former Odlums site.
- Correct any inconsistencies between the

Study and the Proposed 'A2- Town Centre' zoning objective prior to publication of the Sallins LAP 2016-2022.

No. 3- Figure 5 on Page 23 is replaced by a new Figure 5 (see submission) which confirms that an amended *Urban Design/Landscape Architectural Framework Plan Boundary* has been utilised

No. 4- Text Change: The following text is inserted under Section 11.0 Design Briefs:-

'Design Briefs 11.1, 11.2 and 11.3 should also have regard to Objective TCO 7 under Section 10.0'.

3.2 Does not object to the requirement for development to have regard to a Design Brief/Urban Analysis (similar to that set out in the Draft LAP), which should be compliant with Objective TCO 7, subject to the previously highlighted alterations, and inconsistencies with the LAP text, of Appendix 1- Urban Design and Public Realm Study being incorporated into the adopted Sallins LAP. This is of importance in the context of the site in question, given the overly prescriptive and restrictive nature of the requirements set out within the *Sallins Town Centre – Urban Design & Public Realm Study*.

No. 5- Text Change: Amend Section 11.1 'Vision'

section as follows:

'Vision

~~Given the strategic location of the site along both main routes (Main Street and the canal) into the town, it is critical that this block facilitates the expansion of the town centre within a high quality built environment. Elements of the design should interalia include:~~

The industrial architectural heritage of the former Odlum's buildings have a unique historic link with the development of Sallins. These buildings create a sense of place and can be re-imagined into new forms and mixed uses. There is an opportunity to re-use (as part of a wider mixed use commercial/residential development) the buildings for community, recreational and amenity uses in the heart of the town and build on their strategic setting along the canal. Development on this site should also have regard to the indicative principles as set out under the 'Sallins Town Centre – Urban Design & Public Realm Study'.

The proposed updated 'Vision' for the site includes a reference to the 'industrial architectural heritage' of the site having a unique historic link with the development of Sallins; however, there is no basis for this statement from an architectural conservation perspective. No assessment has been

undertaken based on the test for inclusion of buildings on the Record of Protected Structures as set out in the Architectural Heritage Protection Guidelines for Planning Authorities, 2011, and therefore the LAP should not seek their retention.

The buildings on the site are utilitarian in appearance, designed to cater for industrial uses, restrict access to and limit the amenity value of the canal, and do not merit being retained as part of any redevelopment in the future.

Requests that the text included above be omitted and text included in the Draft LAP in respect to the vision for this site be reinstated.

The amendments to Section 11.1 also include amendments to the Design Brief for the former Odlums site. The proposed text included in the Material Alterations reads as follows (with the proposed material alterations highlighted and struck through):

'Elements of the Design Brief should inter alia include:

Built Form

- *High quality built edge to key boundaries (south and east)*
- *Potential active street frontage onto Main Street;*
- *Re-development of the industrial buildings for*

community, recreational and amenity uses;

- Active frontage to the canal with possibility of a designated amenity space, enhancing the built and socio-economic environment of the town and providing green routes for leisure;
- Landmark building/structure – civic/community use reflecting local history etc;
- Views of canal from Canal Bridge to be enhanced through architectural design, **colour and lighting**;
- Promote a range of uses on the waterway – residential, business and leisure uses, which bring activity and movement into and through the block;
- Recognise the amenity value of the waterway and the development uplift it generates while protecting and enhancing its biological diversity and environmental quality;
- Built heritage – possible retention of features in tandem with the regeneration of the sites

Landscape/Urban Space

- New urban spaces – creation of new public spaces and walkways - extend the canal into the site to create feature of interest;
- Set back buildings along southern and western boundaries to create a courtyard/civic square (with possible undercroft car park);
- Provide publicly accessible areas for leisure, sport and recreation; and
- High quality landscape treatments.

Connectivity/Movement

- Provide new connections via bridge over canal to increase permeability/connectivity;
- New street/links to facilitate additional permeability and pedestrian movement between key spaces;
- Non-vehicular access via canal tow path, with ease of access (pedestrian/cycle) through site to continue along canal with new footpath/cycleway;
- Possible vehicular access via new junction at Main Street and opportunity to provide link to main street via Osberstown Court (via units 1-6);
- Integrate development with neighbouring areas – ease of access to playground, railway station etc;
and
- Access and towpath improvements should form an integral part of any development proposal for the site.'

- Suggests it is inappropriate for planning authority to seek to designate the site as town centre park activity park particularly without any consultation with the landowner
- Submission highlights inconsistencies between
 - - proposed material alterations to the draft LAP
 - and proposed Appendix 1

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - - content of the study and current wording of Objective A2 - Objective TCO 7 confirms the council will work with various stakeholders including private landowners to ensure key principles of Urban Design Study are implemented – notes that no engagement took place with site owner prior to the current public consultation • The Urban Study did not form part of the draft LAP, was not subject to public consultation, and has significant implications for Origin Enterprises landholding as currently drafted 	
<p>9</p>	<p>ILTP Consulting on behalf of Waterstown Lands Co-Owners.</p>	<p>This submission makes the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timing of Material Alterations to the Draft Sallins LAP 2016-2022 – the LAP should be prepared within 2 years following the adoption of the County Development Plan (which is currently under review) • Update of National Policy Context – There are a number of recent and pending changes to national and regional policy. The LAP should have regard to such publications • Government's Infrastructure and Capital 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The Kildare County Development Plan 2017-2023 will not come into force until mid 2017. The Sallins LAP 2009 has a six year life. It is therefore incumbent on the Planning Authority to prepare a new Sallins Local Area Plan for the town.</p> <p>National and regional policy updates will be incorporated into the review of various plans if/when they arise. The legislative context pertaining at any given time will be reflected in the respective plan or programme. As such the Draft Sallins LAP was fully compliant with the national and</p>

		<p>Investment 2016-2021 – There is now a commitment to progress with the approved M7 Upgrade Scheme, Osberstown Interchange and Sallins Bypass within the lifetime of this plan. Any material change should be now undertaken in the context of the scheme being in place</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sallins is ideally located to sustainably benefit from growth in residential and employment in the short to medium term • Undue weight should not be afforded to the existing Regional Planning Guidelines which are due for review in 2016 <p>Specifically, the submission states that the material alterations should be deferred pending the adoption of the Kildare CDP 2017-2023.</p> <p>In the event that the Council progresses with the material alterations, a commitment should be given to review the LAP within two years of the adoption of the CDP 2017-2019. Sallins should also be upgraded from a Level 4 Small Town to a Level 3 Moderate Sustainable Growth Town, reflecting the increased connectivity by road, rail and sustainable travel modes.</p>	<p>regional policy and guidelines and any guideline issued under Section 28 of the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2015.</p> <p>The making of a Local Area Plan is a statutory process (including timeframe) under Sections 18 – 20 of the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2015.</p> <p>The designation of towns under the regional hierarchy can only occur at regional level. The Eastern and Midlands Regional Assembly will be preparing the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy for the region in due course. However in the meantime the Regional Planning Guidelines for the GDA are the operative guidelines to which the Council must have regard to.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation No change.</p>
10	Sallins GAA	<p>The submission raises the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The explosion in population has not been matched 	Chief Executive's Opinion

		<p>by a corresponding investment in social infrastructure and facilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The club has a number of physical constraints in catering for all teams and supporters • The rezoning of the GAA facility from A Town Centre (as contained the 2009 LAP) to F Open Space and Amenity in the Draft Sallins LAP 2016-2022 deprives the club of the flexibility to consider alternative sites for its long term future. The retention of the town centre zoning gives flexibility to move elsewhere which will be financed via the sale of the facility. This submission should be read in tandem with the original submission of McCarthy Meats Ltd. The club continues to support the original campus proposal and residential development on the Clane Road. • The grounds will not be sold until a full sports campus is available on the Clane Road. Sallins GAA welcomes Alteration 20 and 21 in this regard. • The existing GAA site is located within 500m of the rail station in the heart of the village and thus is an ideal location for the sequential development of the town • Following consultation with adjoining residents regarding the possibility of high density development on the GAA site, Sallins GAA request 	<p>The Chief Executive's opinion as previously set out in the Chief Executive's Report on Submissions on the Draft Sallins LAP (dated 11th September 2015) stated the following:</p> <p><i>The Council acknowledges the current pressure on various sporting organisations and clubs in Sallins. The Draft LAP has therefore identified a large area (14.9ha) as a future town park over the medium to long term. The existing GAA grounds have been zoned "F Open Space and Amenity" for the following reasons:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Core Strategy has significantly reduced the population growth for Sallins. Consequently the rapid growth in population as seen over previous intercensal periods will not be repeated, reducing the pressure on social infrastructure and clubs;</i> • <i>The GAA facility is located in the heart of the town, providing a park type setting which is accessible to a large cohort of young people by walking or cycling;</i> • <i>A longer term strategy (20 years plus) could be to create a town park, linked to the school via a pedestrian bridge adjacent to the canal. Such opportunities in the future would be lost should the lands be developed;</i> • <i>The loss of this 'green lung' in the heart of the town would be a retrograde step; and</i>
--	--	--	---

that the site is zoned Residential, if technically possible to do so.

- *A mixed use commercial development would be unsuitable for the site considering the quantum of vacant brownfield lands in Sallins.*

The rezoning of lands to the north of Sallins is not recommended.

In addition the Chief Executive notes the following in response to the submission from Sallins GAA:

- The decision to zone the lands is independent of the financial aspirations of the owners of the land. The zoning of the land as F Open Space and Amenity was made in the interests of the entire community and seeks to retain the only green space in Sallins town centre for amenity purposes.
- Sequentially the site is not suitable for A Town Centre zoning given that there are large tracts of underutilised, vacant and brownfield sites in and at the edge of the core of the town which have yet to be developed
- The proposed A Town Centre zoning undermines the 'Sallins Town Centre Urban Design & Public Realm Study' as it will dilute the core of the town centre and shift the focus eastwards away from the Main Street. This will have a direct impact on the strategy to consolidate the town, reduce the

			<p>vitality and viability of key sites in the core for which a number of Design Briefs are proposed under section 11.0 of the Draft LAP, and is contrary to proper planning and national advice on developing strong, attractive and vibrant urban centres</p> <p>Issues relating to the proposed Material Alteration No. 20 are addressed in the Chief Executive's Opinion and Recommendation under submission no. 1 above.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>Revert the zoning of the site back to the Draft Sallins LAP i.e. F Open Space and Amenity</p>
<p>11</p>	<p>Sallins Community Council</p>	<p>Sallins Community Council welcomes the opportunity to respond to the Material Alterations.</p> <p>The Council is generally supportive of all Alterations and has proposed the following:</p> <p><u>Material Alteration No. 20</u></p> <p>The text below should be included in relation to the lands on the Clane Road subject to Material Alteration No. 20;</p> <p><i>Applications for development on these lands must include proposals for improving and providing for walking and</i></p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per recommendation under submission no. 1 above.</p> <p>However should the members decide to retain the zoning under proposed Material Alteration no. 20, the text as included in the submission should be included as follows:</p>

		<p><i>cycling connections between the lands, the town centre and nearby community, education and recreational amenities as well as demonstrate how the development can deliver sustainable modes of transport generally.</i></p> <p>Material Alteration No. 10 It is recommended that access arrangements to the site are decided at a later date.</p>	<p><i>Applications for development on these lands must include proposals for improving and providing for walking and cycling connections between the lands, the town centre and nearby community, education and recreational amenities as well as demonstrate how the development can deliver sustainable modes of transport generally.</i></p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion Possible access arrangements are outlined in the LAP to guide future development and seek to ensure connectivity of spaces and places as set out in the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS), a mandatory and indicative policy document published in 2013.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation No change.</p>
<p>12</p>	<p>Derek Whyte on behalf of Frank Reynolds</p>	<p>This submission proposes that a 4.4 acre area of land in Sherlockstown, outside the boundary of the draft LAP, be zoned within the LAP boundary as 'New Residential'. The suitability of the lands for development and a proposed scheme for 27 houses in 2 phases.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion These lands are not subject to a material alteration. Under section 20 (3) (j) (ii) of the Planning and Development Act 2000-2015, submissions may only be considered where they relate to a proposed material alteration.</p>

			The lands referred to are not subject to a material alteration. As such the submission cannot be considered.
13	Patrena Slowey, RPS Consulting, on behalf of Millennium Properties LP	<p>In relation to Alteration 1 and associated Appendix 1, the submission welcomes the alteration and requests removal of the wording "Under the 2009 LAP.....recommended for re-zoning" as per the alteration.</p> <p>The submission notes in regard to Section 8.2.1 that the LAP must take into account the potential of zoned lands at Millennium Park to provide jobs and that this is factored into the future housing demand calculations with the Sallins LAP. Submission states that the client is disappointed that request for rezoning of a portion of lands for residential use was not included within amendments.</p> <p>In relation to Appendix 1, in light of importance of Sallins train station and smarter city/compact city concepts, requests the addition of the following to Section 8.2.1 to state that the LAP will "Promote an appropriate mix of higher density residential uses at strategic locations in close proximity to transportation hubs around rail and road infrastructure."</p> <p>In relation to Alteration 11, welcomes the wording and requests that objection RIO 6 is included in the finalised LAP.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion on Alteration No. 1</p> <p>Policies and objectives in relation to density are set out under the Kildare County Development Plan 2011-2017 and in the Guidelines for Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (2009)</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion on Alteration no. 11</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p>

In relation to **Alteration 16**, welcomes the new wording and requests that policy PT4 is included in the finalised LAP. Having regard to the provisions of the current Sallins LAP (envisaged PTI, expanded rail station and strategic park and ride), states that additional wording should be included to reflect these current public transport policies/objectives: PT5 should be amended by the addition of the following: "To encourage greater use of the existing rail line in Sallins in the interest of sustainability. To cooperate with, and support, public transport agencies and private stakeholders in development a Public Transport Interchange, including the expansion of bus and train station facilities on lands adjacent to the Sallins Bypass route and to work with CIE to protect the widening of the railway permanent way, to facilitate and support the Kildare Route project and the expansion to 'four track' of the rail line south from Hazelhatch to Kildare Town."

In relation to **Alteration 17**, requests that the plan identifies that the Council will progress with the Sallins Bypass and Osberstown interchange as a matter of priority – although outside the plan area, these are critical infrastructure.

Chief Executive's Opinion on Alteration no. 16

The development strategy for Sallins revolves around the Train Station. As such reference to a new a Public Transport Interchange, including the expansion of bus and train station facilities on lands adjacent to the Sallins Bypass route does not form part of the development strategy of consolidation of Sallins.

Policies in relation to the Kildare Route project are included in the current County Development Plan 2011-2017 as follows:

ST 11: To support the electrification and upgrading, including twin tracking of the Maynooth and four tracking of the Kildare rail lines.

This is considered sufficient.

Chief Executive's Recommendation

No change.

Chief Executive's Opinion on Alteration no. 17

Objective RIO1 is a detailed objective that seeks the

		<p>Requests that an amendment is made by adding to the end of objective RIO1 "The Council will promote and facilitate the construction of the permitted Osberstown /Interchange and the Sallins Bypass within the life of this Plan."</p>	<p>construction of the Sallins Bypass and associated infrastructure. The delivery of the scheme is contingent on finance provided by the national exchequer and therefore not within the scope of the LAP.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p>
<p>14</p>	<p>Mark Kinahan, Sallins Scout Group</p>	<p>This submission: Supports alteration 19 (provided the recommendation for additional text from the SEA Environmental Report (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport) are included) as it provides a real <u>possibility</u> for a scout den, area F2 being particularly appropriate. Supports alteration 9, welcoming recognition of indoor as well as outdoor amenities Supports alteration 10 as the buildings could provide community facilities including scout den. Notes Urban Design and Public Realm Study provides robust supporting basis, in particular new bridges, continuous public space along canal, footpath improvements, tree lined avenue. Supports Alterations 20 and 21, provided the recommendation for additional text from the SEA Environmental Report (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport) are included.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The submission is noted, in particular the support for material alterations no.s 9 and 10.</p> <p>In relation to proposed Material Alteration no. 19, refer to Chief Executive's opinion under submission no.s 4 and 7 above.</p> <p>Note: While the submission refers to the possibility for a scouts den the zoning objective does not specify the final user or indeed the construction of a building on site. Objective F2 reads as follows:</p> <p><i>F2 – It is the objective to provide community/amenity type uses/facilities on these lands</i></p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change in relation to wording of objective.</p>

			<p>In relation to proposed Material Alteration no.s 20 and 21, refer to Chief Executive's opinion and recommendation under submission no.s 1 and 10 above.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change in relation to proposed Material Alterations no.s 9 and 10.</p> <p>In relation to proposed Material Alteration no.s 19, 20 and 21, as per submission no.s 1, 4, 7 and 10 above.</p>
15	Breda Kinahan, Sallins Scout Group	<p>Supports alteration 19 (provided the recommendation for additional text from the SEA Environmental Report (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport) are included) as it provides a real possibility for a scout den, area F2 being particularly appropriate. Supports alteration 9, welcoming recognition of indoor as well as outdoor amenities</p> <p>Supports alteration 10 as the buildings could provide community facilities including scout den. Notes Urban Design and Public Realm Study provides robust supporting basis, in particular new bridges, continuous public space along canal, footpath improvements, tree lined avenue.</p> <p>Supports Alterations 20 and 21, provided the recommendation for additional text from the SEA Environmental Report (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport) are included.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>As per response to submission under no. 14.</p>
16	Pat Kidney, Sallins Scout Group	<p>Supports alteration 19 (provided the recommendation for additional text from the SEA Environmental Report</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p>

		<p>(walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport) are included) as it provides a real possibility for a scout den, area F2 being particularly appropriate. Supports alteration 9, welcoming recognition of indoor as well as outdoor amenities Support alteration 10 as the buildings could provide community facilities including scout den. Notes Urban Design and Public Realm Study provide robust supporting basis, in particular new bridges, continuous public space along canal, footpath improvements, tree lined avenue. Supports Alterations 20 and 21, provided the recommendation for additional text from the SEA Environmental Report (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport) are included.</p>	<p>As per response to submission under no. 14.</p>
<p>17</p>	<p>Lorraine Powell, Irish Girl Guides</p>	<p>Supports proposed alterations no. 19, based on commitments made by the affected landowner that a scout/guides den will be provided on the land zoned Open Space & Amenities. This is the only realistic opportunity for the delivery of such a facility, which would allow for the expansion of services/activities to meet demand.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>While the submission refers to the possibility for a 'den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins' under F2 (1.6 ha), the zoning objective does not specify the final user or indeed the construction of a building on site. Objective F2 reads as follows:</p> <p><i>F2 – It is the objective to provide community/amenity type uses/facilities on these lands</i></p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per response in submission no. 14 above.</p>

18	Irene Comerford, Irish Girl Guides	Supports proposed alterations no. 19, based on commitments made by the affected landowner that a scout/guides den will be provided on the land zoned Open Space & Amenities. This is the only realistic opportunity for the delivery of such a facility, which would allow for the expansion of services/activities to meet demand.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>As per response under submission no. 14.</p>
19	Helen Cummins, Irish Girl Guides	Supports proposed alterations no. 19, based on commitments made by the affected landowner that a scout/guides den will be provided on the land zoned Open Space & Amenities. This is the only realistic opportunity for the delivery of such a facility, which would allow for the expansion of services/activities to meet demand.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>As per response under submission no. 14.</p>
20	Sallins Celtic FC	Expresses support for proposed alterations no. 19, 20 (along with inclusion of recommendations of the SEA Environmental Report (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport)) and alteration 21. Submission also refers to the Urban Design and Public Realm study and & 7 No. specific elements which would benefit the town.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The submission is noted, in particular the support for material alterations no. 7 and the Urban Design and Public Realm Study.</p> <p>In relation to proposed Material Alteration no.s 19, 20 and 21, refer to the Chief Executive's opinion as per submission no.s 1, 4, 7 and 10 above.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per recommendations under submission no.s 1, 4, 7 and 10 above.</p>

21	Sallins Community Games	<p>Welcomes alteration no. 20 and the opportunity this provides to gain 37acres for Open Space and Community and 3 acres for Community and Educational use. States any decision on the patronage of a future schools must be in consultation with parents of school going children in Sallins. Calls for the inclusion of additional text from the SEA Environmental Report p 16 (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport).</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>As per Chief Executive's opinion under submission no.s 1 and 4 above.</p> <p>Issues in relation to the patronage of schools is outside the remit of this statutory planning process of preparing Local Area Plans.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change in relation to school patronage.</p> <p>As per Chief Executive's recommendation under submission no.s 1 and 4 above</p>
22	N.T.A.	<p>Refers to proposed alteration no. 3 re: Design Briefs. Acknowledges the inclusion of text reflecting NTA suggestions on connectivity and movement. Seeks to make sure that interchange between transport modes is accommodated by the design of any sites, particularly as they related to the public road network/railway station. Suggests the following wording: "Any development in the area should clearly outline how the development can accommodate interchanges between transport modes happening at this location, and how it can maximise the benefits of the multimodal transport node at the Railway Station."</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The submission from the NTA is welcomed.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>Amend each Design Brief by deleting previous statement: 'Any development in the area should clearly outline how development can accommodate a modal change and maximises the benefits of the multi-modal transport node at the Rail Station'.</p> <p>And replacement with:</p>

		<p>Acknowledges that alterations nos. 4 and 5 reflect previous submission by the NTA</p>	<p><i>“Any development in the area should clearly outline how the development can accommodate interchanges between transport modes happening at this location, and how it can maximise the benefits of the multimodal transport node at the Railway Station.”</i></p>
<p>23</p>	<p>Fergus Carpenter</p>	<p>Welcomes the inclusion of the Sallins Town Centre Urban Design and Public Realm Study in the LAP in particular re. public space and cycling infrastructure, poor footpaths.</p> <p>Welcomes alteration no. 20 and the opportunity this provides to gain 37acres for Open Space and Community and 3 acres for Community and Educational use. Calls for the inclusion of additional text from the SEA Environmental Report p 16 (walking/cycling connections, sustainable modes of transport). States any decision on the patronage of a future schools must be in consultation with parents of school going children in Sallins.</p> <p>Welcomes alteration no. 19 and real opportunity for a Scout den this provides.</p> <p>Re. alteration no. 21, proposed the zoning for these lands should be residential. Notes the CE Report states it is suitable for residential use, and demand for housing in Sallins is unlikely to diminish. Notes there are already lands zoned Town Centre which should be prioritized and that GAA wishes to see its proceeds from sale maximised. Recommends additional text be included: “As part of the</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The contents of the submission are noted.</p> <p>The Opinion and Recommendation of the Chief Executive in relation to proposed Material Alteration no. 20 is set out under submission no. 1 above.</p> <p>Issues in relation to the patronage of schools is outside the remit of this statutory planning process of preparing Local Area Plans.</p> <p>The Opinion and Recommendation of the Chief Executive in relation to proposed Material Alteration no. 21 is set out under submission no. 10 above.</p> <p>The Chief Executive concurs with the view that there are lands zoned A Town Centre which should be prioritised.</p> <p>The submission also refers to proposed Material Alteration no. 10 and states that access/entrance through Osberstown Park would not be appropriate.</p> <p>Possible access arrangements are outlined in the LAP to</p>

		<p>overall redevelopment of the site a public open space shall be the centrepiece of the design concept. This space shall be open and inviting to not only any future inhabitants of this new development but also to the general public. Linkages to this open space shall be paramount, particularly form Main Street through Chapel Lane and to the proposed footbridge over the canal at the Sherlockstown Road. The retention of the mature trees along the Sherlockstown Road will also be in integral element of the development. The public open space shall consist of 0.8 acres (0.36hectares) located at the southern end (canal end) of the site.</p> <p>Welcomes Alteration 10; states re text change A2 Town Centre "Access to this site should be decided at a later date. An entrance through Osberstown Park... would not be appropriate."</p> <p>Welcomes Alterations 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 14, 17, 18.</p>	<p>guide future development and seek to ensure connectivity of spaces and places as set out in the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS), a mandatory and indicative policy document published in 2013.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>In relation to proposed Material Alteration 21, the recommendation is as per submission no. 10.</p> <p>Recommended no change in relation to all other proposed Material Alterations.</p>
24	Joe Kelly	<p>The submission supports alterations 9, 10, 19 and 20 but is concerned with traffic flow, housing density and design issues with alteration 21.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The contents of the submission are noted. Issues relating to traffic flows and housing density and design will be addressed through the development management process. However this is dependent on the zoning of the land. The opinion of the Chief Executive in relation to material alteration no. 21 is set out under submission no. 10.</p>

			<p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>In relation to proposed Material Alteration no.s 19, 20 and 21, as per Chief Executive's recommendation under submission no.s 1, 4, 7 and 10 above</p>
25	John Fitzgerald	<p>The submission is objecting to material alteration 21 for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing empty units in town centre • Safety of existing site for access esp. children • Protected trees • Traffic concerns • Site should be retained as openspace/childrenpayground • Not appropriate for high rise town centre development 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The Chief Executive agrees with the sentiments expressed in the submission.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
26	Michael Dolly	<p>Supports Alteration 20 as 40 acres are proposed to be transferred free of charge to the community, population has increased without social/community facilities in tandem, lands zoned F1 are not going to be developed in the immediate future, high proportion of young people in Sallins, large number of community groups. States lands should be taken under control of the Council or another body to ensure all clubs are catered for.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion on proposed Alteration no. 20</p> <p>As per submission no. 1 above.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation on proposed Alteration no. 20</p> <p>As per submission no. 1 above.</p>

Opposed to Alteration 21. Zoning decision should be independent of the financial aspirations of the owners of the land. Would result in loss of a valuable amenity in the centre of the town. Proposal is contrary to national policy and guidelines and to objectives of the draft LAP in terms of sequential development and failure to regenerate brownfield land/vacant units. Proposal fails to adhere to statutory national regional and county target housing requirements. Zoning of the site for Open Space and Amenity would partially address the over zoning for residential development.

Proposed amendment would result in excessive zoning for Town Centre development. Other zoned sites are better located in relation to the core. This amendment would delay the development of those sites. Access to the site not adequate for Town Centre development.

Proposal fails to deliver on strategic amenity, tourism and environmental grounds – does not protect residential amenity and character, promote green infrastructure, conserve/enhance habitats. Site is adjacent Canal, proposal conflicts with objective to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism site adjacent Canal.

Strongly agrees with CE report on this matter. Appeals to Naas MD members to consider alterations 20 and 21 independently on their merits.

If Council decides to zone these lands something other than

Chief Executive's Opinion on proposed Alteration no. 21

The Chief Executive agrees with the proposal to retain the GAA lands as F Open Space and Amenity.

Chief Executive's Recommendation on proposed Alteration no. 21

As per recommendation set out under submission no. 10 above.

		Open Space it should be C-New Residential, as Phase 2. Written statement should reflect 2009 LAP, i.e. future development should have regard to its previous use as public open space. Public open space should be provided as centrepiece (0.8 acres with playground, at canal end, handed over to council on completion) linkages through Chapel Lane to proposed footbridge, retention of mature trees etc .	
27	Brenda Hughes	<p>This submission makes the following points;</p> <p>Alteration 20 – is welcomed as it will provide openspace, community & educational uses</p> <p>Alteration 21 - GAA grounds should retain current zoning and not be rezoned as town centre as access is limited, cause an increase in traffic, hazardous for pedestrians. The Site has potential for use as a park/playground</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The principle of retaining the GAA site as open space and amenity is welcomed. However the Chief Executive recommends against proposed Material Alteration no. 20 for reasons set out under submission no. 1.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per recommendations set out under submissions no.s 1 and 10.</p>
28	Debbie & Keith Grimes	<p>This submission makes the following points;</p> <p>Alteration 19 – support zoning of C and F2</p> <p>Alteration 20 – support with the inclusion of the recommendations made in the S.E.A. report – <i>'Application for development on these lands must include proposals for improving and providing for walking and cycling connections between the lands, the town centre and nearby community, education and recreational amenities as well as demonstrate how the development can deliver modes of</i></p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The contents of the submission are noted, in particular the support for the principles set out in the Sallins Urban Design & Public Realm Study.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>Recommendation in relation to Alteration no. 19 is set out under submission no. 7 above.</p>

		<p><i>transport generally'</i></p> <p>The submission also welcomes a number of suggestions set out in the Sallins Urban Design & Public Realm study that would be beneficial for the community e.g. cycle track, reorganise parking on main street, removal of footpaths on Chapel Lane, pedestrian bridge, re-organising parking at canal and tree planting along canal and main street.</p>	<p>Recommendation in relation to Alteration no. 20 is set out under submission no. 1 above.</p>
29	Michelle McLoughlin	<p>This submission makes the following points;</p> <p>Alteration 19 – support zoning of lands at C8 New Residential and F2 Open Space and Amenity. Alteration 20 – support with the inclusion of the recommendations made in the S.E.A. report – <i>'Application for development on these lands must include proposals for improving and providing for walking and cycling connections between the lands, the town centre and nearby community, education and recreational amenities as well as demonstrate how the development can deliver modes of transport generally'</i></p> <p>The submission also refers to suggestions from the Sallins Urban Design & Public Realm study that would be beneficial e.g. cycle track, reorganise parking on main street, removal of footpaths on Chapel Lane, pedestrian bridge, re-organising parking at canal and tree planting along canal and main street.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion and Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 1 and 28 above.</p>

30	John & Geraldine Kehoe	<p>This submission relates to Section 3, sub No. 7 and states that they disagree that a nursing home would be best sited nearer a town centre, for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confined location • Proximity to established housing developments, and • No possibility of further expansion • Would not add to urban sprawl or contribute to coalescence with Naas 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>These lands are not subject to a material alteration. Under section 20 (3) (j) (ii) of the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2015, submissions may only be considered where they relate to a proposed material alteration. As such the submission cannot be considered.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p>
31	Tony Gavin	<p>This submission makes the following points;</p> <p>Alteration 20 – Support the alteration as it provides land for Open space, community and Education. Proposes that the amendment should include the following from the S.E.A. report-</p> <p><i>Application for development on these lands must include proposals for improving and providing for walking and cycling connections between the lands, the town centre and nearby community, education and recreational amenities as well as demonstrate how the development can deliver modes of transport generally'</i></p> <p>Section 4.2 objectives of the Sallins Town Centre Urban Design & Public Realm Study are welcomed.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion and Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 1 above.</p>
32	Martin McAllorum	<p>This submission wishes to support the plan.</p>	<p>Chief Executives Opinion</p> <p>Noted.</p>

33	Audrey McAllorum	This submission wishes to support the plan	Chief Executives Opinion Noted.
34	Ann Byrne	This submission wishes to support the plan	Chief Executives Opinion Noted.
35	James Sexton	This submission makes the following points; Alteration 19 & 20 – support the zonings Alteration 21 – oppose the alteration because the centre of the village should be established around a park rather than a high density town centre.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no.s 1, 7 and 10 above.
36	Sean Keogh	This submission makes the following points; Alteration 21 – Not in favour of the alteration. The GAA pitch should remain as it was in the original 2016-2022 draft LAP. Alteration 21 should be rejected and the GAA lands should remain zoned F Open Space and Amenity for the following reasons; The projected use of these lands is aspirational and could take a generation to be utilised as park lands or sporting facilities Existing derelict/undeveloped brown field sites should be incentivised for use ahead of the GAA grounds.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.
37	Muriel Horkan	Submission supports Material Alteration 19 and requests that adequate car parking space be provided for multi-car households. Alteration 20 is also supported and the design of wide footpaths and cycle paths to allow safe access to the	Chief Executive's Opinion Car parking will be provided through the Development Management process in accordance with the criteria as set out under Chapter 19 of the Kildare County Development Plan 2011-2017.

		<p>amenity aspect of the proposal is requested.</p> <p>The submission opposes Alteration 21 for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are multiple town-centres randomly dispersed in the village. 2. There is a supply of unoccupied commercial units. 3. Town centre zoning is not in keeping with the existing low density houses and would detract from the natural amenity of the canal 4. The canal could provide a potential tourism trade. 5. Agrees with Chief Executive's recommendation to zone the site as Open and Amenity and requests that a 10 year view be taken of the development of Sallins 6. Suggests that the site could be zoned C – New Residential. 7. Recommends that policy H18 from the Kildare County Development Plan 2005 – 2011 be included in Sallins Plan. 	<p>The opinion of the Chief Executive in relation to proposed Material Alteration no. 20 is set out under submission no. 1 above.</p> <p>The reasons for opposing proposed material alteration no. 21 are duly noted and supported where relevant by the Chief Executive as set out under submission no. 10. As such Policy H18 is not relevant at this stage in the process.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 1, 7 and 10 above.</p>
<p>38</p>	<p>Donal J Meehan</p>	<p>Supports alterations 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17.</p> <p>Supports Alteration 4 but a traffic management plan should be a requirement not subject to funding.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>Proposed Material Alteration No. 4 seeks to prepare a Traffic Management Plan in conjunction with the NTA. As such the Council will need to liaise with the NTA in terms of</p>

		<p>Supports Alteration 5 and 18 but in relation to Urban Realm study, while many of the elements are supported states that: Shared space is not suitable on some of the streets identified which have fair degree of traffic flow at speed. (E.g. North Canal Bank in front of pubs and south canal from ramp to school). Town would benefit from town plaza public square development; Main Street improvements and Public Square would be a worthy priority. Parking capacity for the town needs to be maintained.</p> <p>Alteration 12: Questions why land is being changed from F Open Space and Amenity zoning to I Agriculture. With an F zoning it would form part of the town's Liffey Parklands zoning rather than an isolated pocket of agriculture within parkland. It should be left as F if there is no further explanation for the alteration.</p>	<p>a programme of works. It is not possible to confirm funding for a Sallins Traffic Management Plan at this stage.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The Sallins Town Centre Urban Design and Public Realm Study is indicative and presents an overview as to how the spaces mentioned could develop, subject to detailed design. A new town plaza/square is proposed in the centre of the town.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The lands in question are north of a copse of mature trees and west of lands zoned C4 proximate to the town centre. Furthermore the lands are not connected to either the River Liffey or Canal. The Council has provided sufficient open space to cater for the existing and future development of Sallins. As such the lands are not required as F Open Space and Amenity.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p>
--	--	--	---

		<p>Supports Alteration 19 and states that the community facilities on F2 should be developed prior to allowing the commencement of the development of the C8 site.</p> <p>Supports Alteration 20 including the additional SEA text alteration.</p> <p>Alteration 21: Supports the principal of zoning these lands to allow GAA relocate and improve amenities, but any development of this site should be low density, high quality residential. The location does not seem suitable for mixed use/high density development, which should be closer or along main street or on presently derelict town centre sites. Also some of the 3.05 ha should be reserved for town parkland and a defined area and acreage for the park put in place in the plan, incorporating the canal front and large trees. Development of the site should not be allowed until</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The wording of the objective remains consistent with that proposed by the members of Naas Municipal District at a meeting on the 22nd October 2015.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation on proposed Material Alteration no.s 20 & 21</p> <p>As per submission no.s 1 and 10 above.</p>
--	--	--	--

		new facilities are open at the north end of the town.	
39	Barrie Brown	<p>Opposes Alteration 21 motion to re-zone GAA lands A-Town Centre. States that it should be kept F-Open Space. Notes Chief Executive's zoning as F-Open Space and Amenity, and medium term objective to create a park type facility in the heart of the town. Supports this position. Increase in housing in areas has not been balanced with recreational open space resources to support it.</p> <p>Town Centre zoning will congest an already poorly resourced town with a mixed bag of residential and commercial properties. Moving GAA grounds to the edge of where people live to the advantage of the developer/GAA finances is unacceptable.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
40	Derek Ennis	<p>This submission is opposed to alteration 21 because the GAA grounds are the only open recreational space in Sallins Town.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
41	Sabrina Ennis	<p>This submission is opposed to alteration 21 because the GAA grounds are the only open recreational space in Sallins Town.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
42	David Cummins	<p>This submission supports alteration 19 as it may provide the possibility for a den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 7 and 14 above.</p>
43	Bernie Humphreys	<p>This submission supports alteration 19 because it will help to have a club.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 7 and 14 above.</p>

44	Marcus Lanigan	This submission supports alteration 19 as it may provide the possibility for a den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no.s 7 and 14 above.
45	Sonja Owens	This submission supports alteration 19 as it provides a possibility for a scout/guides , youth organisations and other groups in Sallins	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7 and 14 above.
46	Ann Byrne	This submission supports alteration 19 as it may provide a possibility for a den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7 and 14 above.
47	Siobhan Cunniffe	This submission supports alteration 19 as it may provide the possibility for a den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7 and 14 above.
48	Bridget Murray	This submission makes the following points; Alteration 19 – in favour as it provides a possibility for a scout/guides and other groups in Sallins. Alterations 9, 10 & 20 – support the alterations provided the recommendations of the S.E.A. report are followed.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation Comments are noted in relation to proposed Material Alteration no.s 9 & 10. Recommendation as per submission no.s 1, 7 and 14 above.
49	Alan Hurney	This submission makes the following points; Alteration 19 – in favour as it provides a possibility for a scout/guides and other groups in Sallins. Alterations 9, 10 & 20 – support the alterations provided	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation Comments are noted in relation to proposed Material Alteration no.s 9 & 10.

		the recommendations of the S.E.A. report are followed.	Recommendation as per submission no.s 1, 7 and 14 above.
Note: Submissions 50 – 80 inclusive all relate to proposed Material Alteration No. 19			
50	Alana Hurney	This submission makes the following points; Alteration 19 – in favour as it provides a possibility for a den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>While the submission refers to the possibility for a 'den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins' under F2 (1.6 ha), the zoning objective does not specify the final user or indeed the construction of a building on site. Objective F2 reads as follows:</p> <p><i>F2 – It is the objective to provide community/amenity type uses/facilities on these lands</i></p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change in relation to wording of objective.</p> <p>As per submission no. 7 and 14 above,</p> <p>'Retain the lands proposed Material Alteration No. 19 i.e. C8 New Residential 2.2ha and F2 Open Space and Amenity 1.6ha. Amend text under section 8.2.1 to include lands zoned C8 New Residential as Phase 1 lands as follows:</p> <p><i>....To reinforce this aim, lands identified as A Town Centre,</i></p>

			<i>K Commercial/Residential, C1, C2 and C8 New Residential are all classified as Phase 1 lands'.</i>
51	Bridget Murray	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
52	Roseanne Byrne	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
53	Sean Kelleghan	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
54	Margaret O'Connell	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
55	Sharon Mooney	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
56	Anna Byrne	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.

57	Rita Sutton Eyre	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
58	Elaine Burke	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
59	Dan Burke	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
60	Declan Cahill	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
61	Marion Roche	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
62	Ann Byrne	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
63	Brian Whelan	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.

64	Fergus Reilly	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
65	Maureen Murphy	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
66	Colette Cahill	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
67	Cian Gavin	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
68	Helen Cummins	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
69	Garrett Prendiville	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
70	Siobhan Cunniffe	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.

71	Sonja Owens	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
72	Aidan McDonnell	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
73	Karen McDonnell	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
74	Diarmait O'Reilly	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
75	Michelle Clare	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
76	Catriona Caffrey	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.
77	Niall Burke	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.

78	Tom Cronin	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.</p>
79	Margaret Keane	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.</p>
80	Susan Gavagan	The submission supports the zoning of land as an amenity to allow for a scout den and general outdoor activities	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 7, 14 and 50 above.</p>
81	Oisin Greene	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>Possible access arrangements are outlined in the LAP to guide future development and seek to ensure connectivity of spaces and places as set out in the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS), a mandatory and indicative policy document published in 2013.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p>

Note: Submissions 82 – 104 inclusive all relate to proposed Material Alteration No. 10 (access through Osberstown Court)			
82	Brendan Fidges	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
83	Sheila Heffernan	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<p>children/residents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
84	Richard Dunne	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

<p>85</p>	<p>Alan Banford</p>	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
<p>86</p>	<p>Pamela Behan</p>	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<p>unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
87	Caroline Purcell	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
88	Ann Conroy	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<p>positive planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
89	Anne Hekol	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
90	Paraic Walsh	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
91	Jean Halpin	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
92	Keelin Walsh	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

<p>93</p>	<p>Padraig and Loretta Foran</p>	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
<p>94</p>	<p>Karen & V O'Brien</p>	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
95	Bernard Dunne	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Osberstown Park is a cul de sac for residential use only and the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
96	Barney & Martina McDonnell	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Osberstown Park is a cul de sac for residential use 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<p>only and the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
97	Maura Scully	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Osberstown Park is a cul de sac for residential use only and the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		an easier exit	
98	Wm & Sarah Mulligan	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Osberstown Park is a cul de sac for residential use only and the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
99	Paddy & Helen Jones	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Osberstown Park is a cul de sac for residential use only and the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
100	Declan Lackey & Helen Herbert	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
101	Paul Moynihan	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	As per submission no. 81 above.
102	Kay & Pat Byrne	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<p>the residential area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
103	Gerard Murphy	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>
104	Maria Dunne	<p>The submission objects to alteration 10 (Map B to A2) of the Draft Sallins LAP as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed alteration is not in keeping with positive planning • the road in Osberstown Court is for residential use 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 81 above.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> only • the extra traffic would make it unsafe for children/residents • Reservations regarding safety issues and pollution • A pedestrian pathway is unnecessary and unacceptable as it would lead to anti social behaviour, gatherings, pose a negative impact on the residential area • Safety issues regarding an extra exit point making an easier exit 	
Note: Submissions 105 – 158 inclusive all relate to proposed Material Alteration No. 21 (Sallins GAA - Site A4)			
105	David Gahan	<p>Submission opposes Material Alteration 21 for following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agrees with the proposal to zone the GAA site for Open Space & Amenity and agrees with the Chief Executive's recommendation to reject the GAA submission to zone the site town-centre 2. As the site is in the middle of the village, everyone is equal distance from it. 3. Moving the pitch 3Km outside village will result in people having to drive to the pitch. 4. Current site should be zoned Open Space & Amenity as it is beside the canal, the school and in the middle of the village. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>5. There are already other areas in the village with empty units.</p> <p>In addition, submission wants a playground, link paths between the GAA site and the canal to link it with the Naas canal, the space between the canal and Lidl opened up, stylish High Rise residential units at the Odlums site and a walkway from the canal to Sallins Pier.</p>	
106	John Russell	<p>Submission opposes Material Alteration 21 for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lands are inaccessible, adjacent to low density housing and protected trees. 2. There is currently a lack of recreational facilities and other amenities which should be available for residents. 3. Recreational facilities should be publically funded. <p>Submission supports Material Alteration 19 but wants any new residential development to be contingent on the provision of the Sallins Bypass.</p> <p>Submission supports Alteration 20 but wants any new residential development to be contingent on the provision of the Sallins Bypass and states that the council should purchase the lands to provide a sporting and recreational facility similar to the Carragh Sports grounds.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
107	Valerie Halford	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
108	Kevin Pyke	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
109	Joseph Howell	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
<p>110</p>	<p>Chris Howell</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
111	John Carey	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
112	Mark Moran	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
<p>113</p>	<p>Anne Choiseul</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
114	Gillian O'Connor	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
<p>115</p>	<p>Antonio Angelosante</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
116	John Ryan	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
117	Niall Minogue	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
<p>118</p>	<p>Bernard & Bernadette McGinn</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
<p>119</p>	<p>Annette Angelosante</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
120	Christina Gough	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
<p>121</p>	<p>Ashley Guerin</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
122	Micheal Devitt	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
123	Jacquie Walsh Carney	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
124	Caroline Lawlor	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
125	Eunice Dreelan	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
126	Rita & Ronan O'Brien	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
127	Karen Wilson	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
128	Trevor Wilson	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
129	Dolores Daly	<p>This submission from a Sallins visitor is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
130	Elizabeth Wilson	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
131	Brid Hanly	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
132	Des O'Neill	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
133	Kieran Murphy	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
134	Graham Cowen	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
135	Garry Higgins	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
136	Darren Solan	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
137	Rose Gleeson	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
138	Collette Davis	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
139	Catherine Harris	<p>This submission from a Sallins visitor is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
140	Audrey Solan	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
141	Fergus McCarthy	<p>This submission from a Sallins visitor is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
142	Kenneth Davis	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
143	Frank Davis	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
144	Liam Davis	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
145	Sylvia Temple	<p>This submission from a Sallins visitor is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
146	Maura Scully	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
147	Paddy Jones	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
148	Ronald Gough	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
149	Michael Halford	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
150	Peter & Philomena Moddy	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
151	Margaret Lewis	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
152	Mary Mooney	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
153	Fran Sweeney	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
154	Rachel & Andy Churchill	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
155	Hilary Lowry	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
156	Jacqueline Considine	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <p>1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>the Chief Executive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: <i>"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to</i>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP".</i></p> <p>Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?</p> <p>6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.</p> <p>7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)</p> <p>8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins.</p>	
157	Theola & Joseph Concannon	<p>This submission strongly opposes alteration 21 for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. as it is the only public open and active recreational space in Sallins and this area being replaced with a 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<p>high density, mixed use commercial development is opposed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The Chief Executive's recommendation is agreed with. 3. The amenity is strategically located in the town. 4. The zoning fails to adhere to council policy HP6 5. The site is closed in with boundary trees 6. The proposed Town Centre zoning completely contradicts the council's own policies and objectives. 	
158	Vourneen McKeever	<p>This submission opposes alteration 21 for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is contrary to the original draft plan published in June 2015 and contrary to the Chief Executive's recommendation. 2. There are numerous other vacant sites which are unattractive and encourage anti-social behaviour and whose owners should be encouraged to develop. 3. The current GAA site is unsuitable as a Town Centre due to poor accessibility with existing housing and with trees. 4. Material alteration 21 is linked to alteration 20 and the Community Gain principle will only work if the gain comes first and is legally signed over before the Plan is approved. Community Gain should be available to all groups equally. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. If the original Draft Plan is retained, the school could have access to the Open Space/Amenity area with the erection of a pedestrian bridge from the school to the canal 6. A playground to also be provided at this site. 7. How can the GAA provide improved walking and cycling connections and deliver sustainable modes of transport from the town centre, nearby community, education and recreational amenities to the Bodenstown Rd junction as recommended in Alteration 20? 	
159	Daithi de Faoite	<p>This submission refers to Alterations 19, 20 & 21.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission generally supports Alteration 19 but is concerned about additional traffic levels on Osberstown Rd at junction with Sallins Rd. The Sallins Bypass should be completed or a traffic management plan be put in place prior to the approval of alteration 19. 2. Supports Alteration 20. Provides for community to gain 37 acres for Open Space & Community and 3 acres for Community & Educational use. School patronage should be decided by parents of school-going children. Supports inclusion of text from Strategic Environmental Assessment and referred to on page 16 of Material Alterations Report published in December, 2015. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>Issues in relation to the patronage of schools is outside the remit of this statutory planning process of preparing Local Area Plans.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 1, 7, 10 & 23 above.</p>

		<p>3. Strongly opposes Alteration 21 as current open-space facility is used by hundreds of young people and is easily accessible by walkers & cyclists. Site should be maintained as a central green open space and be developed as a park and linked to the canal to provide an amenity in the heart of the village. Developing site as a mixed use commercial development would be inappropriate as there is other substantially sized sites zoned town-centre or residential/commercial amounting to 28 acres. Access, via Chapel Lane, would be inappropriate and existing pedestrian link from Sallins Bridge to town centre is very popular. Policy NH5 protects the existing line of trees and hedgerow. Zoning of site as town-centre would not be a balanced or fair approach to sustainable development.</p> <p>4. Welcomes inclusion of Sallins Town Centre Urban Design and Public Realm Study including recommendations in section 4.2.</p>	
<p>160</p>	<p>Niels Kristian Nielsen</p>	<p>This submission is opposed to Material Alteration 21 as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal to rezone the GAA lands to town-centre is contradictory to the original plan and recommendation of the Chief Executive. 2. Sallins has large quantum of vacant sites and empty commercial units and current owners should be encouraged to use the shops/sites or sell them. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		3. Accepts that current GAA site is inadequate but suggests that site should be connected site to the school.	
161	Edel Kidney	This submission supports alteration 19 as it may provide the possibility of a den for scout/guides and other groups in Sallins	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7 and 14 above.
162	Maria Shirran	This submission is opposed to alteration 21 because; There are 30 acres of brownfield land undeveloped in Sallins already Supporting infrastructure needs to be constructed before more land is rezoned e.g. playground, park, amenities, town hall, civic space, library. There are 2 amenity spaces in Sallins, the canal and GAA grounds. The canal will be negatively effected by the Sallins by-pass from noise and visual impact.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.
163	Brendan Leane	This submission is opposed to alteration 21 because as a resident of Sallins, he is not encouraged to live in a town where the only valued public open and active recreational space could be replaced with a high density mixed use commercial development.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.
164	David Pender	This submission is oppsed to having Sallins GAA land rezoned for Town Centre because it is the heart of the town and accessible for families.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.
165	Sean Gleeson	This submission is opposed to alteration 21 because; It would be more beneficial to people of Sallin for it to	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation

		remain as open space and because there are already enough shops/commercial units in Sallins.	As per submission no. 10 above.
166	Colin MacNamee	This submission supports alteration 19 as it provides for the possibility for a den for scout/guides den and other groups in Sallins.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 7 and 14 above.
167	Garrett Prendiville	This submission supports alteration 20 – the zoning of 40 acres of land for community use.	Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 1 above.
168	Wayne Finn	<p>Submission objects to draft Plan for following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposed access road from Osberstown Court to site A2 as it would lead to significant increase in vehicular traffic into estate which would lead to pollution, noise and increased risk of accidents and contrary to proper planning. 2. There is no requirement for lands marked A2 or A4 to be zoned town-centre as there are ample disused buildings in Sallins zoned commercial/retail/office and other “town-centre”. 3. A playground area should be provided. The land in A2 should be zoned local amenity. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 11 above (access through Osberstown Court)</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>Regarding lands zoned A2, the Chief Executive's opinion is response under submission no. 8. In addition it should be noted that the site A2 is key to delivering the Urban Design and Public Realm Study and represents an underutilised brownfield site which, if developed in accordance with the principles as set out under this Plan, will enhance the</p>

		<p>4. The submission objects to C9, C3, C8, C4 or C7 being zoned for new residential.</p> <p>5. Objects to Material Alteration 20 zoning of lands for new residential. Sallins has highest ratio of housing units outside Dublin and 2009 Plan over-provided for residential. The infrastructure is currently unsuitable to service the existing population. Schools would need to be expanded or built and a</p>	<p>vitality and viability of Sallins town centre. Please refer to submission no. 10 in relation to the lands zoned A4.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 10 and 11 above.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>Lands zoned C9 and C8 are addressed under the Chief Executive's opinion under submissions no.s 1 and 7 above. Lands zoned C3, C7 and C8 - These lands are not subject to a material alteration. Under section 20 (3) (j) (ii) of the Planning and Development Act 2000-2015, submissions may only be considered where they relate to a proposed material alteration. The lands are not subject to a material alteration. As such the submission cannot be considered.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no. 1 above.</p>
--	--	---	--

		<p>second level school should be considered.</p> <p>6. Objects to zoning of GAA lands as town centre. They should remain as open space and amenity as they enable children to walk to the grounds. Provision of pitches on the outskirts of village would require drivers which would increase traffic volume.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
169	Harry Pender	This submission opposes Sallins GAA land being zoned town centre. Moving the club away from the town centre would be shameful.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
170	Helen McCormack	This submission opposes Sallins GAA land being zoned as town centre.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
171	Tammy Leane	This submission is opposed to alteration 21 because as a resident of Sallins, the rezoning will not encourage people to live in a town where the only valued public open and active recreational space could be replaced with a high density mixed use commercial development.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.</p>
172	Alfie Beahan	This submission is opposed to alteration 21 because; It is the only public/recreational space in the village Sallins will not benefit from another area zoned town centre when there are existing developments not at	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation As per submission no. 10 above.</p>

		capacity and there are other site more suitable	
173	Seamie Moore	<p>Submission supports material alterations 19, 20 & 21 as they would support the future development of Sallins and its community as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sallins has needs & pressures of a commuter town. 2. Proposed bypass of town will facilitate future town growth and commuter transport from Sallins. 3. CIE Agenda 21 Programme to treble commuter rail services in immediate short term. 4. KCC decision to upgrade Osberstown WWTP will allow town growth. 5. Sallins is a growth sector to Naas with second level schools, industry etc to be located in Naas. 6. Cllrs zoning to provide Community & Amenity zoning will relieve amenity pressures & support essential social infrastructure growth. 7. Any derogation, by Cllrs, from Planners advice should be accepted as necessary for the community in short-term and will balance out in medium-term. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 1, 4, 7 and 10 above.</p>
174	Paul O'Brien	<p>General support for the draft Plan but opposes material alteration 21 as site should be preserved when GAA relocates. Site should be used for town park, skate park & basketball court with link to primary school.</p> <p>Also wants canal greenway & cycle path from Sallins to Dublin developed.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>In relation to the development of the greenway from Sallins to Dublin, this is a key objective of the LAP. The Council will actively encourage the delivery of the project in consultation with the relevant authorities to enhance the vitality of the town.</p>

			<p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>
175	Mary McConnon	<p>Submission opposes material alteration 12 as animal farming not suitable adjacent to residential C4 site or bypass. Wants zoning to revert to Open Space and Amenity.</p> <p>No objection to Alteration 19 as scouts would have a home.</p> <p>No objection to Alteration 20 but is concerned about location of reservation for school so close to major roundabout. School should be located adjacent to urban area and share access with football pitch as Scoil Bhríde, Naas.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion</p> <p>The lands in question are north of a copse of mature trees and west of lands zoned C4 proximate to the town centre. Furthermore the lands are not connected to either the River Liffey or Canal. The Council has provided sufficient open space to cater for the existing and future development of Sallins. As such the lands are not required as F Open Space and Amenity.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 1, 4, 7 and 10 above.</p>

		<p>Strongly objects to Alteration 21 as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposed zoning would eliminate vital green space in heart of village. 2. Could become a town park if not in GAA use. 3. Doesn't support residential development on pitch. 4. Currently derelict sites on main street. 5. Village has other Town-centre developments. 6. GAA site currently heavily used by young people. 	
176	Karen Byrne	<p>Submission supports Material Alteration 19 with respect to Sallins Scouts.</p> <p>Also supports Alteration 20 but wants additional text to include proposals for improving & providing walking & cycling connections and sustainable modes of transport.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 1 and 7 above.</p>
Note: Submissions 177 – 187 inclusive all relate to proposed Material Alteration No. 21 (Sallins GAA Site A4)			
177	Joan & John Burke	<p>Opposes Material Alteration 21 as site is not suitable for Town-centre development and wants playing field retained. Wants development lands to be located at Lidl or GAA site to be zoned C – New Residential.</p> <p>Pitch should be in public use with community hall, library and senior citizen centre.</p> <p>Opposes construction of any more small apartments in Sallins and objects to level of through traffic.</p>	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

<p>178</p>	<p>Arthur Shirran</p>	<p>Submission opposes Material Alteration 21 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 30 acres of brownfield land already available, 2. Too much development has already taken place without supporting amenity infrastructure in place. 3. Sallins has been victim of other development plans with sites such as The Waterways built on a pitch & put course, Millbank built without the marina and Osberstown Park and other estates not taken in charge. 4. Osberstown Park & the Waterways have drainage infrastructure problems. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>
<p>179</p>	<p>Ronan Anthony O'Reilly</p>	<p>Submission opposes Material Alteration 21 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 30 acres of brownfield land already available, 2. Too much development has already taken place without supporting amenity infrastructure in place. 3. Sallins has been victim of other development plans with sites such as The Waterways built on a pitch & put course, Millbank built without the marina and Osberstown Park and other estates not taken in charge. 4. Osberstown Park & the Waterways have drainage infrastructure problems. 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>
<p>180</p>	<p>Ollie Smith</p>	<p>Submission opposes changing of zoning of GAA grounds to town centre for following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Town centre zoning would violate residents privacy due to fact that there are existing bungalows and 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

		<p>two storey houses backing on to site.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Sallins has derelict unused commercial sites & buildings throughout village which should be used before additional retail or residential units are required. 3. Additional new commercial & residential units will continue disjointed nature of previous planning. 4. Current GAA pitch is one of most appealing aspects of Sallins & is safe, well lit & suitable for children year round. 5. Moving location would make travel less safe for unaccompanied children. 	
181	Ann Pender	This submission opposes Sallins GAA land being zoned town centre.	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>
182	Seosaidh O'Connor	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of the Chief Executive 2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites', is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.

3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.
4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats
5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: ***"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP"***. Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town. 7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2) 8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins. 	
183	Ronald Stone	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of the Chief Executive 2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.

3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.
4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats
5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: ***"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP"***. Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town. 7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2) 8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins. 	
<p>184</p>	<p>Phil Voon</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of the Chief Executive 2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.

3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.
4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats
5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: ***"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP"***. Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town. 7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2) 8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins. 	
185	Edel & Mark O'Dwyer	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of the Chief Executive 2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.

3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.
4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats
5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: ***"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP"***. Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town. 7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2) 8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins. 	
186	Derek Lowry	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of the Chief Executive 2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.

3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.
4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats
5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: ***"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP"***. Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town. 7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2) 8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins. 	
<p>187</p>	<p>Colette Duffy</p>	<p>This submission from a Sallins resident is opposed to Material Alteration 21 and makes the following points ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material alteration 21 is contrary to the original draft plan and the opinion and recommendation of the Chief Executive 2. 'Town Centre' zoning does not support the sequential and sustainable development of more appropriate 'key sites' , is totally contrary to the council's own policies and objectives, specifically S2 and MA5. Sallins has 5 other substantially sized sites designated 'A-Town Centre' and 'K-Commercial & 	<p>Chief Executive's Opinion & Recommendation</p> <p>As per submission no.s 4 and 10 above.</p>

Residential'. These sites have a combined size in excess of 28 acres.

3. It is completely out of keeping with the lands current surroundings and use and fails to adhere to council policy HP6. The site is surrounded on 3 boundaries with low density residential developments all of which have gardens which back onto the site, is closed in along the southern boundary by trees which are to be protected as stated in Sallins Draft LAP 2016-2022 and in accordance with council policy NH5.
4. It could lead to gross over-development, cramming of the site and could result in infrastructural concerns and detrimental long term pressure to remove or cut back some or all of the protected trees/habitats
5. The members of the Naas Municipal District state in the Material Alterations Report, Table 5, pg.21: ***"@30 per ha, the Site is considered suitable for residential use at lower density having regard to the existing two storey units adjoining the land and the mature belt of trees to the south of the site which are protected under the LAP"***. Why not zone the land as 'C-New Residential' and not 'A-Town Centre' and therefore minimize, as much as possible, concerns raised in various points above?

- | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. How would a high density development support the council's policy to retain, enhance and develop routes for recreation and tourism and increase permeability within and around the town.7. The proposal does not contain the conciliatory provision as detailed on page 40 of the 2009 Sallins LAP regarding site ref A(2)8. Town centre zoning of the current GAA grounds does not represent a balanced and fair approach to the sustainable and sequential development of Sallins as required by the community and the Councils policies and objectives within the Draft Sallins LAP. The proposal would effectively ensure that the only public open space in the historical town centre would be lost forever to a high density, mixed use commercial development that is not needed by the current or future residents of Sallins. | |
|--|--|--|--|

